

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,006	07/17/2003	Prem Vakharia	0ekm-104935	1668
30764 7.	590 01/26/2006		EXAMINER	
SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP			MAI, TRI M	
333 SOUTH H	OPE STREET			D. D.C
48TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90071-1448		3727	
				_

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_
,

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/622,006	VAKHARIA, PREM			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tri M. Mai	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,9 and 11 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-8,10 and 12-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the original transfer of the correction is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/06/03.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				
	·, <u> </u>				

Art Unit: 3727

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the piping and the wire must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. Claims 2, 9, 20, and 11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention as previously set forth.

Applicant traverses the election on the ground that the examination of remaining claims can be made without serious burden. The examiner submits that the fastening devices on each of the species is specific so that each type of fastening devices must be searched separately, thus, constitute a serious burden.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,006 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

3. Claim 1, 6, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Harding (3861434). Harding teaches a head cover having a cover body defining an inner compartment, and a magnetic fastener 29 positioned about the opening as claimed.

Regarding claim 10, the magnet portions can engage one another as claimed.

4. Claim 1, and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by White (4858361). White teaches a cover defining an inner compartment, and a magnetic fastener about the opening as claimed.

Regarding claim 5, note the sleeve in Fig. 4.

5. Claims 1, 6, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Chang (482943). Chang teaches a cover body with a magnetic fastener as claimed.

Regarding claim 10, note the two layers in figure 8.

6. Claims 1, 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Rosenow (3145749) in view of Russell (3161932). Rosenow teaches a head cover with a fastener being a zipper 15. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the magnetic fastener in Rosenow as taught by either Russell to provide an alternative fastener.

Regarding claim 5, It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide at least four magnets in each strip to provide the desired amount of magnets for securely closing the closure.

7. Claims 6, 7, 10, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over the Rosenow rejection above in paragraph 5, and further in view of Lauretti (2422245). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a liner as taught by Lauretti to provide added protection.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,006

Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

8. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over the Rosenow rejection as set forth above in paragraph 7, and further in view of Halter (2705039) or Workman (4784248). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide wire disposed within piping 35 to provide added rigidity.

9. Claims 1, 3-7, 10, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lauretti in view of Russell (3161932). Lauretti teaches a head cover with a fastener being a zipper 15. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the magnetic fastener in Rosenow as taught by either Russell to provide an alternative fastener.

Regarding claim 5, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide at least four magnets in each strip to provide the desired amount of magnets for securely closing the closure.

10. Claims 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Lauretti rejection as set forth above, and further in view of Berglof et al. (5682653). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the magnets in a heat shrink tubeing as taught by Berglof to provide an alternative structure for the holding the magnets.

Regarding claim 15, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide an elastic cords having the magnetic strips to provide another alternative holding means for the magnets.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (571)272-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,006 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse can be reached on (571)272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tri M. Mai // O Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727